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Introduction and contents

The use of stochastic orderings as a modeling tool has become standard in theory and applications of stochastic optimization. Much of the theory is developed and many successful applications are known.

Research topics:
- Multivariate concepts and analysis,
- scenario generation and approximation schemes,
- analysis of (Quasi-) Monte Carlo approximations,
- numerical methods and decomposition schemes,
- applications.

Contents of the talk:
(1) Introduction, stochastic dominance, probability metrics
(2) Quantitative stability results
(3) Sensitivity of optimal values
(4) Limit theorem for empirical approximations
Optimization models with stochastic dominance constraints

We consider the convex optimization model

\[
\min \left\{ f(x) : x \in D, \ G(x, \xi) \succeq (k) \ Y \right\},
\]

where \( k \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \geq 2, \ D \) is a nonempty closed convex subset of \( \mathbb{R}^m, \) \( \Xi \) a closed convex subset of \( \mathbb{R}^s, \) \( f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \) is convex, \( \xi \) is a random vector with support \( \Xi \) and \( Y \) a real random variable on some probability space both having finite moments of order \( k - 1, \) and \( G : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous, concave with respect to the first argument and satisfies the linear growth condition

\[
|G(x, \xi)| \leq C(B) \max\{1, \|\xi\|\} \quad (x \in B, \xi \in \Xi)
\]

for every bounded subset \( B \subset \mathbb{R}^m \) and some constant \( C(B) \) (depending on \( B \)). The random variable \( Y \) plays the role of a benchmark outcome.

Stochastic dominance relation \( \succeq^{(k)} \)

\[ X \succeq^{(k)} Y \iff F_X^{(k)}(\eta) \leq F_Y^{(k)}(\eta) \quad (\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}) \]

where \( X \) and \( Y \) are real random variables belonging to \( \mathcal{L}_{k-1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \) with norm \( \| \cdot \|_{k-1} \) for some probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\). By \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) we denote consistently the space of all scalar random variables.

Let \( P_X \) denote the probability distribution of \( X \) and \( F_X^{(1)} = F_X \) its distribution function, i.e.,

\[ F_X^{(1)}(\eta) = \mathbb{P}(\{X \leq \eta\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} P_X(d\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} dF_X(\xi) \quad (\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}) \]

and

\[ F_X^{(k+1)}(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} F_X^{(k)}(\xi)d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - \xi)^k}{k!} P_X(d\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - \xi)^k}{k!} dF_X(\xi) = \frac{1}{k!} \| \max\{0, \eta - X\}\|_{k}^{k} \quad (\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}), \]

where

\[ \| X \|_{k} = \left( \mathbb{E}(\| X \|^{k}) \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (\forall k \geq 1). \]

The original problem is equivalent to its split variable formulation

$$\min \left\{ f(x) : x \in D, \ G(x, \xi) \geq X, \ F_X^{(k)}(\eta) \leq F_Y^{(k)}(\eta), \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

by introducing a new real random variable $X$ and the constraint

$$G(x, \xi) \geq X \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-almost surely.}$$

This formulation motivates the need of two different metrics for handling the two constraints of different nature:

The almost sure constraint $G(x, \xi) \geq X$ ($\mathbb{P}$-a.s.) and the functional constraint $F_X^{(k)}(\cdot) \leq F_Y^{(k)}(\cdot)$, respectively.

Properties:

(i) Equivalent characterization of $\succeq^{(2)}$:

$$X \succeq^{(2)} Y \iff \mathbb{E}[u(X)] \geq \mathbb{E}[u(Y)]$$

for each nondecreasing concave utility $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the expectations are finite.

(ii) The function $F^{(k)}_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nondecreasing for $k \geq 1$ and convex for $k \geq 2$.

(iii) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the SD relation $\succeq^{(k)}$ introduces a partial ordering in $\mathcal{L}_{k-1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ which is not generated by a convex cone if $Y$ is not deterministic.

Extensions: By imposing appropriate assumptions all results remain valid for the following two extended situations:

(a) finite number of $k$th order stochastic dominance constraints,

(b) the objective $f$ is replaced by an expectation function of the form $\mathbb{E}[g(\cdot, \xi)]$ where $g$ is a real-valued function defined on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^s$. 
The case of discrete distributions:

Let $\xi_j$, $X_j$ and $Y_j$ the scenarios of $\xi$, $X$ and $Y$ with probabilities $p_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the second order dominance constraints (i.e. $k = 2$) in the split variable formulation can be expressed as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j [\eta - X_j]_+ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j [\eta - Y_j]_+ \quad (\forall \eta \in I).$$

The latter condition can be shown to be equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j [Y_k - X_j]_+ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j [Y_k - Y_j]_+ \quad (\forall k = 1, \ldots, n).$$

if $Y_k \in I$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Here, $[\cdot]_+ = \max\{0, \cdot\}$.

Hence, the second order dominance constraints may be reformulated as linear constraints for the $X_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, in

$$G(x, \xi_j) \geq X_j \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n).$$


Metrics associated to $\preceq_{(k)}$

Rachev metrics on $\mathcal{L}_{k-1}$:

$$\mathbb{D}_{k,p}(X,Y) := \begin{cases} 
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_X^{(k)}(\eta) - F_Y^{(k)}(\eta)|^p d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & 1 \leq p < \infty \\
\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} |F_X^{(k)}(\eta) - F_Y^{(k)}(\eta)|, & p = \infty
\end{cases}$$

**Proposition:** It holds for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}_{k-1}$

$$\mathbb{D}_{k,p}(X,Y) = \zeta_{k,p}(X,Y) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{D}_{k,p}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) P_X(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) P_Y(dx) \right|$$

if $\mathbb{E}(X^i) = \mathbb{E}(Y^i), i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$. 

Here, $\mathcal{D}_{k,p}$ denotes the set of continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that have measurable $k$:th order derivatives $f^{(k)}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^{(k)}(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \leq 1 \quad (p > 1) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{ess} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(k)}(x)| \leq 1 \quad (p = 1).$$
Note that the condition $\mathbb{E}(X^i) = \mathbb{E}(Y^i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$, is implied by the finiteness of $\zeta_{k,p}(X, Y)$, since $\mathcal{D}_{k,p}$ contains all polynomials of degree $k - 1$. Conversely, if $X$ and $Y$ belong to $\mathcal{L}_{k-1}$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^i) = \mathbb{E}(Y^i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$, holds, then the distance $\mathbb{D}_{k,p}(X, Y)$ is finite.

**Proposition:**
There exists $c_k > 0$ (only depending on $k$) such that

$$\zeta_{k,\infty}(X, Y) \leq \zeta_{1,\infty}(X, Y) \leq c_k \zeta_{k,\infty}(X, Y)^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{L}_{k-1}).$$

$\zeta_{1,\infty}$ is the Kolmogorov metric and $\zeta_{1,1}$ the first order Fourier-Mourier or Wasserstein metric.

**Structure and stability**

We consider the $k$:th order SD constrained optimization model

$$\min \left\{ f(x) : x \in D, \ F_{G(x,\xi)}^{(k)}(\eta) \leq F_{Y}^{(k)}(\eta), \ \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

as semi-infinite program.

**Relaxation:** Replace $\mathbb{R}$ by some compact inverval $I = [a, b]$.

**Proposition:**
Under the general convexity assumptions the feasible set

$$\mathcal{X}(\xi, Y) = \left\{ x \in D : F_{G(x,\xi)}^{(k)}(\eta) \leq F_{Y}^{(k)}(\eta), \ \forall \eta \in I \right\}$$

is closed and convex in $\mathbb{R}^m$. 
Uniform dominance condition of $k$th order ($k$udc) at $(\xi, Y)$:
There exists $\bar{x} \in D$ such that
\[
\min_{\eta \in I} \left( F_{Y}^{(k)}(\eta) - F_{G(\bar{x}, \xi)}^{(k)}(\eta) \right) > 0.
\]

Metrics on $L_{k-1}^{s} \times L_{k-1}$:
\[
d_{k}((\xi, Y), (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})) = \ell_{k-1}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) + \mathbb{D}_{k, \infty}(Y, \tilde{Y}),
\]
where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$ is the degree of the SD constraint,
$\mathbb{D}_{k, \infty}$ is the $k$th order Rachev metric, and
$\ell_{k-1}$ is the $L_{k-1}$-minimal or $(k - 1)$th order Wasserstein distance defined by
\[
\ell_{k-1}(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Xi \times \Xi} \|x - \tilde{x}\|^{k-1} \eta(dx, d\tilde{x}) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}},
\]
where the infimum is taken w.r.t. all probability measures $\eta$ on $\Xi \times \Xi$ with marginal $P_{\xi}$ and $P_{\tilde{\xi}}$, respectively.
**Proposition:**

Let $D$ be compact and assume that the function $G$ satisfies

$$|G(x, u) - G(x, \tilde{u})| \leq L_G \|u - \tilde{u}\|$$

for all $x \in D$, $u, \tilde{u} \in \Xi$ and some constant $L_G > 0$. Assume that the $k$th order uniform dominance condition is satisfied at $(\xi, Y)$.

Then there exist constants $L(k) > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d_H(\mathcal{X}(\xi, Y), \mathcal{X}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})) \leq L(k) d_k((\xi, Y), (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})),$$

whenever the pair $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})$ is chosen such that $d_k((\xi, Y), (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})) < \delta$.

($d_H$ denotes the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$.)

Note that $L(k)$ gets smaller with increasing $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\|\xi\|_{k-1}$ grows at most exponentially with $k$. Hence, higher order stochastic dominance constraints may have improved stability properties.
Let $v(\xi, Y)$ denote the optimal value and $S(\xi, Y)$ the solution set of

$$\min \left\{ f(x) : x \in D, x \in \mathcal{X}(\xi, Y) \right\}.$$  

We consider the growth function

$$\psi(\xi, Y)(\tau) := \inf \left\{ f(x) - v(\xi, Y) : d(x, S(\xi, Y)) \geq \tau, x \in \mathcal{X}(\xi, Y) \right\}$$

and

$$\Psi(\xi, Y)(\theta) := \theta + \psi^{-1}(\xi, Y)(2\theta) \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+),$$

where we set $\psi^{-1}(\xi, Y)(t) = \sup\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \psi(\xi, Y)(\tau) \leq t\}$.

Note that $\Psi(\xi, Y)$ is increasing, lower semicontinuous and vanishes at $\theta = 0$. 
Main stability result

**Theorem:**
Let $D$ be compact and assume that the function $G$ satisfies

$$|G(x, u) - G(x, \tilde{u})| \leq L_G \|u - \tilde{u}\|$$

for all $x \in D$, $u, \tilde{u} \in \Xi$ and some constant $L_G > 0$. Assume that the $k$th order uniform dominance condition is satisfied at $(\xi, Y)$.

Then there exist positive constants $L(k)$ and $\delta$ such that

$$|v(\xi, Y) - v(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})| \leq L(k) d_k(((\xi, Y), (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})))$$

$$\sup_{x \in S(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})} d(x, S(\xi, Y)) \leq \Psi_{(\xi, Y)}(L(k) d(k(((\xi, Y), (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y})))$$

whenever $d_k(((\xi, Y), (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{Y}))) < \delta$.

(Klatte 94, Rockafelar-Wets 98)
Dual multipliers and utilities

Let $\mathcal{Y} = C(I)$ and $\mathcal{Y}^*$ its dual which is isometrically isomorph to the space $\text{rca}(I)$ of regular countably additive measures $\mu$ on $I$ having finite total variation $|\mu|(I)$. The dual pairing is given by

$$\langle \mu, y \rangle = \int_I y(\eta) \mu(d\eta) \quad (\forall y \in \mathcal{Y}, \mu \in \text{rca}(I)).$$

We consider the closed convex cone

$$K = \{ y \in \mathcal{Y} : y(\eta) \geq 0, \forall \eta \in I \}$$

and its polar cone $K^-$

$$K^- = \{ \mu \in \text{rca}(I) : \langle \mu, y \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in K \}. $$

The semi-infinite constraint may be written as

$$\mathcal{G}_k(x; P_\xi, P_Y) := F^{(k)}_Y - F^{(k)}_{G(x, \xi)} \in K$$

and the semi-infinite program is

$$\min \{ f(x) : x \in D, \mathcal{G}_k(x; P_\xi, P_Y) \in K \}.$$
Lemma: (Dentcheva-Ruszczyński 03)
Let \( k \geq 2, I = [a, b] \), \( \mu \in -K^- \). There exists \( u \in U_{k-1} \) such that

\[
\langle \mu, F_X^{(k)} \rangle = \int_I F_X^{(k)}(\eta) \mu(d\eta) = -\mathbb{E}[u(X)]
\]

holds for every \( X \in \mathcal{L}_{k-1} \). Here, \( U_{k-1} \) denotes the set of all \( u \in C^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}) \) such that its \((k-1)\)th derivative exists almost everywhere and there is a nonnegative, non-increasing, left-continuous, bounded function \( \varphi : I \to \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^{(k-1)}(t) &= (-1)^k \varphi(t) \quad , \text{\( \mu \)-a.e. } t \in [a, b], \\
    u^{(k-1)}(t) &= (-1)^k \varphi(a) \quad , \text{\( t < a \)}, \\
    u(t) &= 0 \quad , \text{\( t \geq b \)}, \\
    u^{(i)}(b) &= 0 \quad , \text{\( i = 1, \ldots, k-2 \)},
\end{align*}
\]

where the symbol \( u^{(i)} \) denotes the \( i \)th derivative of \( u \). In particular, the utilities \( u \in U_{k-1} \) are nondecreasing and concave on \( \mathbb{R} \).

Proof: The function \( u \in U_{k-1} \) is defined by putting \( u(t) = 0, t \geq b, u^{(k-1)}(t) = (-1)^k \mu([t, b]), \mu \)-a.e. \( t \leq b \), \( u^{(i)}(b) = 0, = 1, \ldots, k-2 \). One obtains by repeated integration by parts for any \( X \in \mathcal{L}_{k-1} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \langle \mu, F_X^{(k)} \rangle &= (-1)^k \int_{-\infty}^b F_X^{(k)}(\eta) du^{(k-1)}(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^b u(t) dF_X(t) = -\mathbb{E}[u(X)].
\end{align*}
\]
Optimality and duality

Define the Lagrange-like function $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathcal{U}_{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\mathcal{L}(x, u; P_\xi, P_Y) := f(x) - \int_\Xi u(G(x, z)) P_\xi(dz) + \int_\mathbb{R} u(t) P_Y(dt).$$

**Theorem:** (Dentcheva-Ruszczyński)

Let $k \geq 2$ and assume the $k$th order uniform dominance condition at $(\xi, Y)$. A feasible $\hat{u}$ is optimal if and only if a function $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{k-1}$ exists such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{x}, \hat{u}; P_\xi, P_Y) = \min_{x \in D} \mathcal{L}(x, \hat{u}, P_\xi, P_Y)$$

$$\int_\Xi \hat{u}(G(\bar{x}, z)) P_\xi(dz) = \int_\mathbb{R} \hat{u}(t) P_Y(dt).$$

Furthermore, the dual problem is

$$\max_{\mu \in -K} \left[ \inf_{x \in D} \left[ f(x) - \mathbb{E} [u(G(x; \xi))] + \mathbb{E} [u(Y)] \right] \right]$$

or

$$\max_{\mu \in -K} \left[ \inf_{x \in D} \left[ f(x) - \langle \mu, G_k(x; P_\xi, P_Y) \rangle \right] \right]$$

and primal and dual optimal values coincide.
Sensitivity of the optimal value function

Let the infimal function \( v : C(D) \to \mathbb{R} \) be given by

\[
v(g) = \inf_{x \in D} g(x).
\]

If \( D \) is compact, \( v \) is finite and concave on \( C(D) \), and Lipschitz continuous with respect to the supremum norm \( \| \cdot \|_\infty \) on \( C(D) \). Hence, it is Hadamard directionally differentiable on \( C(D) \) and

\[
v'(g; d) = \min \left\{ d(x) : x \in \arg \min_{x \in D} g(x) \right\} \quad (g, d \in C(D)).
\]

Let \( U_{k-1}^* \) denote the solution set of the dual problem. Any \( \bar{u} \in U_{k-1}^* \) is called shadow utility. For some shadow utility \( \bar{u} \) and \( g_{\bar{u}} = \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \bar{u}; P_\xi, P_Y) \), the duality theorem implies \( v(g_{\bar{u}}) = v(P_\xi, P_Y) \).

**Corollary:** Let \( D \) be compact and the assumptions of the duality theorem be satisfied. Then the optimal value function \( v(P_\xi, P_Y) \) is Hadamard directionally differentiable on \( C(D) \) and the directional derivative into direction \( d \in C(D) \) is

\[
v'(g_{\bar{u}}; d) = v'(P_\xi, P_Y; d)) = \min \left\{ d(x) : x \in S(P_\xi, P_Y) \right\}.
\]
Limit theorems for empirical approximations

Let \((\xi_n, Y_n), n \in \mathbb{N}\), be a sequence of i.i.d. (independent, and identically distributed) random vectors on some probability space. Let \(P_{\xi}^{(n)}\) and \(P_{Y}^{(n)}\) denote the corresponding empirical measures and \(P_n = P_{\xi}^{(n)} \times P_{Y}^{(n)}\).

**Empirical approximation:**

\[
\min \left\{ f(x) : x \in D, \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\eta - G(x, \xi_i)]_{+}^{k-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\eta - Y_i]_{+}^{k-1}, \eta \in I \right\}
\]

**Optimal value:**

\[
v(P_{\xi}, P_{Y}) = \inf_{x \in D} \mathcal{L}(x, \bar{u}; P_{\xi}, P_{Y}) \\
= \inf_{x \in D} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(x) + \bar{u}(G(x, \xi)) - \bar{u}(Y) \right] \\
= \inf_{x \in D} P(f(x) + \bar{u}(G(x, z)) - \bar{u}(t)),
\]

where \(\bar{u}\) is a shadow utility and \(P := P_{\xi} \times P_{Y}\).
Proposition: (Donsker class)

Let the assumptions of the main stability theorem be satisfied. Let $D$ and the supports $\Xi = \text{supp}(P_\xi)$ and $\Upsilon = \text{supp}(P_Y)$ be compact.

Then $\Gamma_k$ is a Donsker class, i.e., the empirical process $\mathcal{E}_ng$ indexed by $g \in \Gamma_k$

$$\mathcal{E}_ng = \sqrt{n}(P_n - P)g = \sqrt{n}\left(n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\xi_i, Y_i) - \mathbb{E}(g(\xi, Y))\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}(g) \ (g \in \Gamma_k)$$

converges in distribution to a Gaussian limit process $\mathcal{G}$ on the space $\ell^\infty(\Gamma_k)$ (of bounded functions on $\Gamma_k$) equipped with supremum norm, where

$$\Gamma_k = \{ g_x : g_x(z, t) = f(x) + \bar{u}(G(x, z)) - \bar{u}(t), (z, t) \in \Xi \times \Upsilon, x \in D \}.$$  

The Gaussian process $\mathcal{G}$ has zero mean and covariances

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}(x) \mathcal{G}(\tilde{x})] = \mathbb{E}_P[g_xg_{\tilde{x}}] - \mathbb{E}_P[g_x]\mathbb{E}_P[g_{\tilde{x}}] \text{ for } x, \tilde{x} \in D.$$
**Proposition:** (functional delta method)
Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be Banach spaces equipped with their Borel $\sigma$-fields and $B_1$ be separable. Let $(X_n)$ be random elements of $B_1$, $h : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ be a mapping and $(\tau_n)$ be a sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If
\[
\tau_n(X_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} X
\]
for some $\theta \in B_1$ and some random element $X$ of $B_1$ and $h$ is Hadamard directionally differentiable at $\theta$, it holds
\[
\tau_n(h(X_n) - h(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} h'(\theta; X),
\]
where $\xrightarrow{d}$ means convergence in distribution.

**Application:**
$B_1 = C(D)$, $B_2 = \mathbb{R}$, $h(g) = \inf_{x \in D} g(x)$, $h$ is concave and Lipschitz w.r.t. $\| \cdot \|_\infty$, and $h'(g; d) = \min\{d(y) : y \in \arg \min_{x \in D} g(x)\}$. 
**Theorem:** *(Limit theorem)*

Let the assumptions of the Donsker class Proposition be satisfied. Then the optimal values $v(P_{\xi}^{(n)}, P_{Y}^{(n)}), n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy the limit theorem

$$\sqrt{n}(v(P_{\xi}^{(n)}, P_{Y}^{(n)}) - v(P_{\xi}, P_{Y})) \xrightarrow{d} \min\{G(x) : x \in S(P_{\xi}, P_{Y})\}$$

where $G$ is a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariances $\mathbb{E}[G(x)G(\tilde{x})] = \mathbb{E}_{P}[g_{x}g_{\tilde{x}}] - \mathbb{E}_{P}[g_{x}]\mathbb{E}_{P}[g_{\tilde{x}}]$ for $x, \tilde{x} \in S(P_{\xi}, P_{Y})$.

If $S(P_{\xi}, P_{Y})$ is a singleton, i.e., $S(P_{\xi}, P_{Y}) = \{\bar{x}\}$, the limit $G(\bar{x})$ is normal with zero mean and variance $\mathbb{E}_{P}[g_{\bar{x}}^2] - (\mathbb{E}_{P}[g_{\bar{x}}])^2$.

The result allows the application of resampling techniques to determine asymptotic confidence intervals for the optimal value $v(P_{\xi}, P_{Y})$, in particular, bootstrapping if $S(P_{\xi}, P_{Y})$ is a singleton and subsampling in the general case.

Conclusions

- Quantitative continuity properties for optimal values and solution sets in terms of a suitable distance of probability distributions have been obtained.

- A limit theorem for optimal values of empirical approximations of stochastic dominance constrained optimization models is shown which allows to derive confidence intervals.

- Extensions of the results to study (modern) Quasi-Monte Carlo approximations of such models are desirable (convergence rate $O(n^{-1+\delta})$, $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$).

- Extensions of the asymptotic result to the situation of estimated shadow utilities are desirable.

- Extensions to multivariate dominance constraints are desirable, e.g., for the concept

  $X \succeq_{(m,k)} Y$ iff $v^\top X \succeq_{(k)} v^\top Y$, $\forall v \in \mathcal{V}$,

  where $\mathcal{V}$ is convex in $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $X, Y \in L^{m}_{k-1}$.

  For example, $\mathcal{V} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m_+ : \|v\|_1 = 1\}$ is studied in (Dentcheva-Ruszczynski 09) and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|v\|_1 \leq 1\}$ in (Hu-Homem-de-Mello-Mehrotra 11).

